

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/501,624

Applicant(s)

RANTANEN, RAUNO

Examiner

Yewebdar T. Tadesse

Art Unit

1734

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Yewebdar T. Tadesse.

(3)_____.

(2) Patrick Stiennon.

(4)_____.

Date of Interview: 07 March 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 21 and 33.

Identification of prior art discussed: WO0102098, Tooker.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney has faxed discussion points on 3/6/2007 (see enclosed copies) With respect to the 112 first rejection, it is agreed that in view of WO'098 applicant's invention is directed within the area of paper making machine. Examiner will consider applicants' argument upon filing a formal amend and withdraw the new-matter rejection. As to the art rejection, no agreement is reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Patent Attorneys

STIENNON & STIENNON

P.O. Box 1667; Madison, WI 53701-1667; phone (608) 250-4870; Fax (608) 250-4874

This transmission is intended for the exclusive use of the named recipient. If you are not the named recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, copying, disclosure or distribution of the information transmitted herewith may be subject to legal restriction or sanction, and you are requested to notify us by telephone (collect) at (608) 250-4870 to arrange for return or destruction of the information and all copies.

Fax to: **Examiner Yewedbar Tadesse**
United States Patent and Trademark Office

At Fax Number: **1-571-273-1238**
From: Patrick J. G. Stiennon
Date: March 6, 2007
Time: _____
Our Reference: **FORSAL-92**

Your Reference: Application No.: 10/501,624

This transmission has 2 pages (including this sheet)

Interview discussion points for interview on, March 7th, 2007 10:30 EST

Transmission Completed

If there is a problem regarding this transmission,
please call receptionist at (608) 250-4870

Interview discussion points. Application No.: 10/501,624 Docket no.FORSAL-92

March 7th, 2007 10:30 EST Examiner Yewedbar Tadesse with Patrick Stiennon 608-250-4810

Is a claim to a paper or board machine, new matter?

From the application:

[0003] The web to be treated, in turn, can be a paper web, a board web or a plastic film.

[0010] Metso Paper, Inc.'s FI Patent Application 991498, which is incorporated by reference herein, discloses an arrangement for spreading a treating agent on a moving surface.

[0031] The apparatus operates such that a treating agent is fed into the feed chamber 12 from the inlet opening 13a of the feed chamber 12 situated on one side of the body housing 11 of the feed apparatus 10. Depending on the application of use, the treating agent can be water, size, coating colour or another liquid material used for treating the web that is being produced.

FI Patent Application 991498 corresponds to WO0102098 and from WO0102098:

In paperboard manufacture in particular, it would be preferable to provide such a method and a device to spread surface size on the surface of the web, which makes it possible to apply a sufficient amount of size on the web's surface at a considerably higher velocity than before, but which would be cost-effective enough also when used in board machines with low production volumes.

One embodiment of the invention comprises the feeding of an even moisture film, when the roll, the belt or the like of a paper machine is washed and an accurate amount of water is needed in the form of a film.

The invention can also be used to apply a coating or size on a web, when it is in a semi-wet state, in other words, before the final drying. In that case, feeding can be carried out by the drying part, the pressing part or the web part of the machine, for example, directly to the nip, belt or roll or directly to the surface of the web.

Argument from the patent data base.

"forming section of a papermaking machine" occurs 9 times in the title of a patent, and 144 time in the specification of a patent, in the searchable US PTO database.

The the term "machine" is implicit within the term "forming section" in the context of the specification.

Are you looking for some specific claim language or limitation?